rchis, Wiener & Partners, LLP

Florida Office. 8751 W. Law by Blvk., Ste. 203 C. M. Lawley, Fl. 33324 (34) 652-0100

Connecticut Office:

24 Hoyt Street Stamford, CT 06905 (203) 323-9120 Attorneys at Law and Proctors in Admiralty

61 Broadway, 26th Floor New York, New York 10006-2802

> Telephone: (212) 344-4700 Facsimile: (212) 422-5299

> > www.marinelex.com

December 19, 2007

New Jersey Office:

1495 Morris Avenue Union, NJ 07083 (973) 467-4740

Massachusetts Office:

2355 Main Street, P.O. 186 S. Chatham, MA 02659 (508) 432-2121

BY HAND DELIVERY

Judge Richard M. Berman United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 650 New York, NY 10007

Re:

Zim Integrated Shipping Services, I

Southern District of New York 07 C

USDC SDNY

DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED

DDC #:

DATE FILED: 13 19 5

Dear Judge:

We are attorneys representing plaintiff Zim Integrated Shipping Services, Ltd., in connection with the above captioned civil action and we are writing you to request an extension of time of two (2) weeks to file our responses to defendants' joint motions to dismiss and to vacate our client's complaint and prayer for a Rule B attachment.

According to your Order dated October 18, 2007, plaintiff's responses are due on Friday December 28, 2007 and Defendants' replies on January 18, 2007. We contacted defendants' counsels on December 17 to request their consent to our extension of time. Defendant Sinochem Jiangsu Corporation's counsel responded to us yesterday evening that their client does not oppose to our request for an extension of time, to the extent "all remaining due dates (be) extended three weeks so as to get beyond previously-scheduled vacations". Counsel for Nanjing Huabin Foreign Trade & Economics Co., Ltd. consents to our request but requires similarly an extension of the time for the reply. We have not been able to get in touch with Defendant Belco Resources, Inc.'s counsel.

The extension of time is sought because of two issues. First, we are encountering difficulties to have witnesses in the U.S., Israel and the Far East available at this time of the year to review certain allegations of facts or of law made by the defendants in their motion papers and execute affidavits in support of our opposition. Second, the complexity and length of the two joint motions filed by the three defendants necessitate a lot of resources and time from our firm which, at this time of the year, is considerably strained.

At the scheduling conference on October 18, Your Honor has acknowledged that December 28 may prove a difficult date, and indicated further there could be some leeway. We further draw the Court's attention on the fact that the defendants have had approximately six full weeks to file their joint

motions whereas plaintiff was only afforded four weeks. This is our first request for adjournments or extensions in this matter.

Consequently, we respectfully request that the date for Plaintiff to file his responses be extended to Friday January 11, 2008 and that defendants' time to reply be extended until Friday February 8, 2008.

Very truly yours,

We thank Your Honor for his attention in this matter.

Vincent M. DeOrchis/ Olivier D. L. DuPont

TO: Lovells LLP

Attn: Edward Schorr, Esq., Hillel Parness, Esq. and Derek J. Craig, Esq.

590 Madison Avenue New York NY 10022 Tel: (212)-909-0600

Fax: (212)-909-0660

Attorneys for Sinochem Jiangsu Corporation

TO: Bennett, Giuliano, McDonnell & Perrone

Attn: William R. Bennett, III, Esq.

225 West 34th Street

Suite 402

New York, NY 10122-0402

Tel: (646) 328-0120 Fax: (646) 328-0121

Attorneys for Belco Resources, Inc.

TO: Tisdale & Lennon, LLC

Attn: Thomas L. Tisdale, Esq. 11 West 42nd St., Suite 900 New York, NY 10036

Tel: (212) 354-0025 Fax: (212) 869-0067

Attorneys for Nanjing Huabin Foreign Trade & Economics Co., Ltd.